

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/623,485	09/15/2000	Nobuya Sato	197129US0PCT	7267
22850	7590 03/02/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			GHALI, ISIS A D	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/623,485	SATO ET AL.					
navicely near	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Isis Ghali	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI se on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate	See MPEP				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in nths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,	(2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ———	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
-	a vanamaida usti su la sa la sa sa sa sa s	. المنالة عالما	S 				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 7-10.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	LSKun	he				
10. Other:		Gollamudi S. Kis Primary Examine Group 1 5 00	hore, PhD				

Continuation of 7: NOTE:

The cited prior art still reads on the present claims. Applicants argue that the references do not teach the same modulus as claimed by applicants. It is the examiner's position that the cited references teach composition comprising the same claimed ingredients and it is expected that the composition comprising the same ingredients to have the same modulus as claimed by applicants, absent evidence to the contrary.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner

Group 1600